

Overview

This diagnostic is designed to help boards and organization leaders identify challenges that may be impeding efforts to improve quality. Developed by Jim Conway, this resource draws on 20 years of personal governance experience as well as learning from the literature and the shared experience of trustees, executives, patients, family members, staff, teachers, and students. The tool framework is constructed around six key drivers of engagement as reported initially in the 2006 "Getting Boards on Board" initiative of the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI). It identifies more than 60 challenges that, when addressed, can help boards and leaders create their own pathway to continuous improvement. The tool reflects quality domains identified by the National Academy of Medicine (formerly the Institute of Medicine), which include care that is safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable.

How to Use This Tool

Using this tool together (governance and leadership, including medical staff leadership):

- Check for evidence of problems and struggles that could be limiting impact
- Discuss why
- Seek out key resources, examples of best practice, and lessons learned by boards who are "leading the way"
- Develop a plan to address problems and turn them into opportunities for improvement
- Execute following the IHI's Model for Improvement [http://www.ihi.org/resources/ Pages/HowtoImprove/default.aspx] or a similar systematic approach

"Boards on Board" Drivers	✓	Board Leadership Barriers (CHECK ALLTHAT APPLY)
1. Set aims		1. "Pile it on" strategy; too many aims and priorities set
		2. Lack of urgency/constancy of purpose; looking for "shiny new object"
		3. Aims externally driven; missing internal "losing sleep" issues
		4. External benchmarks set around the mean
		5. No process for selecting and aligning aims against the triple/quadruple aim
		6. "Favorites" get projects resourced; no transparency to justify choices and tradeoffs
		7. Board fails to communicate what's important and why; goals not made public
		8. Lack of will or vision of what is possible; status quo is fine
		9. Failure to consider multiyear targets and timelines where appropriate
		10. Overarching systemwide aims not set and/or achieved in multientity systems





"Boards on Board" Drivers	✓	Board Leadership Barriers (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
2. Get data and hear stories		11. Data and PowerPoint overload with no time for discussion
		12. Patient and staff harm not discussed in the boardroom
		13. Absence of guidelines on time allocated to presentations and discussion
		14. Reports of the same types of errors over and over without improvement
		15. Patient stories shared without discussion of impact or next steps
		16. Data presented in red/yellow/green form and not data over time (run charts)
		17. Same few trustee voices heard in board quality discussions
		18. Lots of opportunities missed; hiding in 8-point font
3. Establish and monitor system-level metrics		19. Stretch goals avoided to stay personally "safe"; courage not visible
		20. Rate-based data, acronyms, and other abbreviations not understood by all trustees
		21. Gaps between bold aims and current realities are not highlighted
		22. Quality domains not in balance (e.g., no focus on equity, timeliness, etc.)
		23. Interconnections among clinical, financial, service, and experience outcomes ignored, leading to unintended consequences
		24. No clarity that the board's focus is on quality assurance and not quality control
		25. Lack of knowledge on the cost implications of current quality performance
		26. Aims chosen are inpatient focused and not reflective of the organization's breadth
		27. Unit variation persists unchallenged; hidden under a "big dot" that is "OK"
		28. Not enough use of leading indicators; performance data is routinely old





"Boards on Board" Drivers	✓	Board Leadership Barriers (CHECK ALLTHAT APPLY)
4. Change the environment, policies, and culture		29. "Core values light," with values not publicly verifiable every day
		30. Quality isn't core to the organization values, principles, business strategy
		31. Board hasn't publicly acknowledged its ultimate accountability for care quality
		32. Trustees who have core competencies in quality are not sought out
		33. Clinicians (M.D., R.N., etc.) have a limited role in board meetings
		34. Patients and family advisers not at board quality table
		35. Practices "only invented here"; little, if any, best-practice sharing or learning
		36. Little recognition of, and celebration for, progress
		37. Staff suffer from "projectitis" and drown under project "waterfalls"
		38. Financial issues pushing quality off board agenda
		39. Trustees/leaders speak about quality only when spoken to at board meetings
		40. There are physicians on staff to whom you wouldn't refer family/friends
		41. Credentials recommendations routinely approved by board without discussion
		42. Quality is not represented at every board and committee table
		43. Trustees' competencies and passions untapped
		44. Board and trustees' self-assessments not conducted and/or not criteria-based
		45. Trustees not helped to see what they should see
		46. Board out of the loop in oversight of serious patient and staff harm
		47. Leaders struggle with transparency and only positive outcomes are reported
		48. Board follow-up loops routinely not closed
		49. Board finds it difficult to do the work with 2 to 4 board quality meetings a year





"Boards on Board" Drivers	✓	Board Leadership Barriers (CHECK ALLTHAT APPLY)
5. Learn from others and from each other		50. Board quality role not well understood by trustees or key stakeholders
		51. No one's asking "Could it happen here?" when a serious event occurs elsewhere
		52. Evaluations of board meetings are not conducted
		53. Trustees never go to where the work is done (i.e., rounding in units and clinics)
		54. Staff perceives trustees and leaders don't have a "clue" of work at the front line
		55. No ongoing board orientation, continuing education, and/or coaching
		56. Trustees not trained or assessed for knowledge about quality improvement and their role
6. Establish executive accountability		57. Lack of clarity in governance/management roles and responsibilities
		58. Lack of sustained leadership engagement over time
		59. Absence of partnership among the board, chair, and CEO
		60. Targets set without probing resource capacity to execute
		61. Theory of work ahead unclear: What are key drivers? What is the evidence?
		62. No succession planning to ensure continuous function of quality committee and board role in quality oversight
		63. Trustees and leaders haunted by question: "If you knew, why didn't you do?"

